![NSSE - national survey of student engagement]

NSSE 2020 Snapshot

University of Minnesota Duluth

A Summary of Student Engagement Results

Student engagement represents two critical features of collegiate quality. The first is the amount of time and effort students put into their studies and other educationally purposeful activities. The second is how institutional resources, courses, and other learning opportunities facilitate student participation in activities that matter to student learning. NSSE surveys undergraduate students in their first and final years to assess their levels of engagement and related information about their experience at your institution.

Comparison Group
The comparison group featured in this report is

UMD Competitors

See your *Selected Comparison Groups* report for details.

This *Snapshot* is a concise collection of key findings from your institution's NSSE 2020 administration. We hope this information stimulates discussions about the undergraduate experience. Additional details about these and other results appear in the reports referenced throughout.

Engagement Indicators

Sets of items are grouped into ten Engagement Indicators, organized under four broad themes. At right are summary results for your institution. For details, see your *Engagement Indicators* report.

Key:

▲ **Your students' average** was significantly higher ($p < .05$) with an effect size at least .3 in magnitude.

△ **Your students' average** was significantly higher ($p < .05$) with an effect size less than .3 in magnitude.

-- No significant difference.

▽ **Your students' average** was significantly lower ($p < .05$) with an effect size less than .3 in magnitude.

▼ **Your students' average** was significantly lower ($p < .05$) with an effect size at least .3 in magnitude.

		Your students compared with UMD Competitors	
Theme	Engagement Indicator	First-year	Senior
Academic Challenge	**Higher-Order Learning**	▽	▽
	Reflective & Integrative Learning	▽	--
	Learning Strategies	▽	▽
	Quantitative Reasoning	--	--
Learning with Peers	**Collaborative Learning**	--	△
	Discussions with Diverse Others	--	--
Experiences with Faculty	**Student-Faculty Interaction**	▽	--
	Effective Teaching Practices	--	--
Campus Environment	**Quality of Interactions**	--	--
	Supportive Environment	▽	--

High-Impact Practices

Due to their positive associations with student learning and retention, special undergraduate opportunities are designated "high-impact." For more details and statistical comparisons, see your *High-Impact Practices* report.

First-year
Service-Learning, Learning Community, and Research w/Faculty

Senior
Service-Learning, Learning Community, Research w/Faculty, Internship, Study Abroad, and Culminating Senior Experience

First-year

	Participated in two or more HIPs	Participated in one HIP
UMD	5%	50%
UMD Competitors	9%	47%

0% 25% 50% 75% 100%

Senior

	Participated in two or more HIPs	Participated in one HIP
UMD	62%	26%
UMD Competitors	69%	22%

■ Participated in two or more HIPs   ■ Participated in one HIP

NSSE 2020 Snapshot

University of Minnesota Duluth

Academic Challenge: Additional Results

The Academic Challenge theme contains four Engagement Indicators as well as several important individual items. The results presented here provide an overview of these individual items. For more information about the Academic Challenge theme, see your *Engagement Indicators* report. To further explore individual item results, see your *Frequencies and Statistical Comparisons,* the *Major Field Report,* the *Online Institutional Report,* or the Report Builder.

Time Spent Preparing for Class

This figure reports the average weekly class preparation time for your students compared to students in your comparison group.


First-year

UMD	14.6
UMD Competitors	14.5

Senior

UMD	16.0
UMD Competitors	15.1

Average Hours per Week Preparing for Class

Reading and Writing

These figures summarize the number of hours your students spent reading for their courses and the average number of pages of assigned writing compared to students in your comparison group. Each is an estimate calculated from two or more separate survey questions.


First-year

UMD	5.8
UMD Competitors	6.7

Senior

UMD	6.5
UMD Competitors	6.9

Average Hours per Week on Course Reading

First-year

UMD	43.4
UMD Competitors	48.7

Senior

UMD	83.5
UMD Competitors	79.8

Average Pages of Assigned Writing, Current Year

Challenging Students to Do Their Best Work

To what extent did students' courses challenge them to do their best work? Response options ranged from 1 = "Not at all" to 7 = "Very much."

First-year: UMD — High challenge (6 or 7) 38%, Moderate challenge (3, 4, or 5) 59%; UMD Competitors — High challenge 44%, Moderate challenge 54%.
Senior: UMD — High challenge 43%, Moderate challenge 54%; UMD Competitors — High challenge 50%, Moderate challenge 48%.

Legend:
- High challenge (6 or 7)
- Moderate challenge (3, 4, or 5)
- Low challenge (1 or 2)

Academic Emphasis

How much did students say their institution emphasizes spending significant time studying and on academic work? Response options included "Very much," "Quite a bit," "Some," and "Very little."


First-year

UMD	78%
UMD Competitors	77%

Senior

UMD	78%
UMD Competitors	75%

Percentage Responding "Very much" or "Quite a bit"

Item Comparisons

By examining individual NSSE questions, you can better understand what contributes to your institution's performance on the Engagement Indicators. This section displays the five questions[a] on which your students scored the highest and the five questions on which they scored the lowest, relative to students in your comparison group. Parenthetical notes indicate whether an item belongs to a specific Engagement Indicator or is a High-Impact Practice. While these questions represent the largest differences (in percentage points), they may not be the most important to your institutional mission or current program or policy goals. For additional results, see your *Frequencies and Statistical Comparisons* report.

First-year

Highest Performing Relative to UMD Competitors

Combined ideas from different courses when completing assignments[b] (RI)

Explained course material to one or more students[b] (CL)

Reached conclusions based on your own analysis of numerical information (...)[b] (QR)

Discussions with... People with political views other than your own[b] (DD)

Worked with other students on course projects or assignments[b] (CL)

Lowest Performing Relative to UMD Competitors

Institution emphasis on attending events that address important social/econ./polit. issues[c] (SE)

Connected your learning to societal problems or issues[b] (RI)

Talked about career plans with a faculty member[b] (SF)

Evaluating a point of view, decision, or information source[c] (HO)

Included diverse perspectives (...) in course discussions or assignments[b] (RI)

| Item #	
2a.	+5
1f.	+4
6a.	+3
8d.	+3
1h.	+2
14i.	-8
2b.	-8
3a.	-9
4d.	-10
2c.	-10

Percentage Point Difference with UMD Competitors

Senior

Highest Performing Relative to UMD Competitors

Instructors provided prompt and detailed feedback on tests or completed assignments[c] (ET)

Prepared for exams by discussing or working through course material w/other students[b] (CL)

Reached conclusions based on your own analysis of numerical information (...)[b] (QR)

Asked another student to help you understand course material[b] (CL)

Worked with other students on course projects or assignments[b] (CL)

Lowest Performing Relative to UMD Competitors

Evaluating a point of view, decision, or information source[c] (HO)

Completed a culminating senior experience (...) (HIP)

Extent to which courses challenged you to do your best work[d]

Reviewed your notes after class[b] (LS)

About how many courses have included a community-based project (service-learning)?[e] (HIP)

Item #	
5e.	+5
1g.	+5
6a.	+4
1e.	+4
1h.	+4
4d.	-6
11f.	-7
10.	-8
9b.	-8
12.	-11

Percentage Point Difference with UMD Competitors

a. The displays on this page draw from the items that make up the ten Engagement Indicators (EIs), six High-Impact Practices (HIPs), and the additional academic challenge items reported on page 2. Key to abbreviations for EI items: HO = Higher-Order Learning, RI = Reflective & Integrative Learning, LS = Learning Strategies, QR = Quantitative Reasoning, CL = Collaborative Learning, DD = Discussions with Diverse Others, SF = Student-Faculty Interaction, ET = Effective Teaching Practices, QI = Quality of Interactions, SE = Supportive Environment. HIP items are also indicated. Item numbering corresponds to the survey facsimile available on the NSSE website.
b. Combination of students responding "Very often" or "Often."
c. Combination of students responding "Very much" or "Quite a bit."
d. Rated at least 6 on a 7-point scale.
e. Percentage reporting at least "Some."
f. Estimate based on the reported amount of course preparation time spent on assigned reading.
g. Estimate based on number of assigned writing tasks of various lengths.

NSSE 2020 Snapshot

University of Minnesota Duluth

How Students Assess Their Experience

Students' perceptions of their cognitive and affective development, as well as their overall satisfaction with the institution, provide useful evidence of their educational experiences. For more details, see your *Frequencies and Statistical Comparisons* report.

Perceived Gains Among Seniors

Students reported how much their experience at your institution contributed to their knowledge, skills, and personal development in ten areas.

Perceived Gains (Sorted highest to lowest)	Percentage of Seniors Responding "Very much" or "Quite a bit"
Thinking critically and analytically	87%
Working effectively with others	78%
Writing clearly and effectively	73%
Analyzing numerical and statistical information	72%
Acquiring job- or work-related knowledge and skills	69%
Speaking clearly and effectively	67%
Solving complex real-world problems	65%
Developing or clarifying a personal code of values and ethics	61%
Understanding people of other backgrounds (econ., racial/ethnic, polit., relig., nation., etc.)	58%
Being an informed and active citizen	51%

Satisfaction with UMD

Students rated their overall experience at the institution, and whether or not they would choose it again.

Percentage Rating Their Overall Experience as "Excellent" or "Good"

First-year	
UMD	83%
UMD Competitors	83%
Senior	
UMD	84%
UMD Competitors	84%

Percentage Who Would "Definitely" or "Probably" Attend This Institution Again

First-year	
UMD	83%
UMD Competitors	83%
Senior	
UMD	82%
UMD Competitors	81%

Administration Details

Response Summary

	Count	Resp. rate	Female	Full-time
First-year	890	46%	57%	99%
Senior	831	39%	56%	89%

See your *Administration Summary* and *Respondent Profile* reports for more information.

Additional Questions

Your institution administered the following additional question set(s):

Development of Transferable Skills

Civic Engagement

See your *Topical Module* report(s) for results.

What is NSSE?

NSSE annually collects information at hundreds of four-year colleges and universities about student participation in activities and programs that promote their learning and personal development. The results provide an estimate of how undergraduates spend their time and what they gain from attending their college or university. Institutions use their data to identify aspects of the undergraduate experience that can be improved through changes in policy and practice.

NSSE has been in operation since 2000 and has been used at more than 1,600 colleges and universities in the US and Canada. More than 90% of participating institutions administer the survey on a periodic basis.

Visit our website: **nsse.indiana.edu**